

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 387 671

CE 070 071

AUTHOR Paris, Scott; Parecki, Andrea
TITLE Metacognitive Aspects of Adult Literacy. NCAL Brief.
INSTITUTION National Center on Adult Literacy, Philadelphia, PA.
SPONS AGENCY Office of Educational Research and Improvement (ED), Washington, DC.
REPORT NO NCAL-BP94-09
PUB DATE 10 Mar 95
NOTE 3p.; For the full report, see ED 363 734.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Adult Basic Education; *Adult Literacy; Beginning Reading; Children; Comparative Analysis; Evaluation Methods; *Integrated Curriculum; *Literacy Education; Literature Reviews; *Metacognition; Reading Skills; *Self Evaluation (Individuals); Skill Development; Student Evaluation; *Teaching Methods

ABSTRACT

Research on metacognition and literacy development in children and adults that was conducted during the past 15 years was reviewed to determine how metacognition can be applied to instructional approaches for adult literacy and how assessment methods may need to be modified if metacognition is to be applied successfully. Among the key findings of the review were the following: metacognitive approaches to literacy training use the prior beliefs that learners bring to the study of a given text/situation and encourage self-appraisal and self-management; literacy definitions and instruction have been moving away from narrow skills-based models and toward the more comprehensive kinds of thinking and motivational beliefs that adult learners bring to literacy activities; and instructional approaches for adult literacy, such as whole language and family literacy, can easily incorporate metacognitive concepts. Interviews, surveys, portfolios, and think-aloud techniques were recommended as possible ways of providing more information about how adult learners use metacognition than is provided by conventional assessment methods. The following strategies were suggested to promote metacognition in literacy instruction: make individuals' needs and abilities the first priority, give individuals opportunities to take control of their own reading and writing, and continually challenge individuals. (MN)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

BRIEF

Metacognitive Aspects of Adult Literacy

Scott Paris
Andrea Parecki
University of Michigan

Based on NCAL Technical Report TR93-09
SEPTEMBER 1993
36 pages, \$8.00 (ERIC No. ED 363 734)

BP94-09

MARCH 10, 1995

KEY FINDINGS:

- ☐ Metacognitive approaches to literacy training utilize the prior beliefs that learners bring to the study of a given text or situation and encourage self-appraisal and self-management. These approaches have been found to benefit children and seem well suited to adults as well.
- ☐ Literacy definitions and instruction have been moving away from narrow skills-based models and toward the more comprehensive kinds of thinking and motivational beliefs that adult learners bring to literacy activities.
- ☐ Instructional approaches for adult literacy, such as whole language and family literacy, can easily incorporate metacognitive concepts and, in the process, promote self-regulated, functional, and collaborative learning.

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS:

- ☐ Interviews, surveys, portfolios, and think-aloud techniques may provide more information than conventional assessment methods on how adult learners employ metacognition.
- ☐ To promote metacognition, literacy instruction should (a) make individuals' needs and abilities the first priority, (b) include sufficient opportunities for individuals to take control of their own reading and writing, and (c) continually challenge individuals.
- ☐ Data are needed on how the following variables interact with adults' metacognition: (a) age, gender, and abilities; (b) cultural background; (c) dialects and multilingualism; and (d) motivation and self-perception.

INTRODUCTION

Metacognition refers to the reflective knowledge that people bring to various literacy activities. It entails two processes: *cognitive self-appraisal* (evaluating literacy tasks at hand and one's abilities) and *cognitive self-management* (planning, monitoring, and regulating one's behavior and thinking). Most previous research on metacognition and literacy development has focused on children, but this report shows that these research findings can also be applied to adult literacy training. This report reviews research on metacognition and literacy in both children and adults in order to highlight the similarities and differences.

METHODOLOGY

The first section of the report discusses definitions of literacy and points out that many factors operate to affect individuals' literacy. The second section reviews the past 15 years' research on metacognition and literacy in children. A consistent finding of these studies is that good reading skills are associated with increasing ability to appraise one's thinking and to regulate one's reading, which are the two hallmarks of metacognition. Furthermore, intervention or instructional studies have shown that children can be taught better metacognition. The third section discusses the implications of the research on children for adult literacy. The fourth and fifth sections

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

NATIONAL CENTER ON ADULT LITERACY

BEST COPY AVAILABLE 2

CE 070071

discuss, respectively, how metacognition can be applied to instructional approaches for adult literacy and how assessment methods may have to be modified if metacognition is to be successfully applied.

IMPLICATIONS

Adults come to the task of learning literacy with much greater knowledge and more diverse experiences than children. Consequently, metacognition can be expected to matter at least as much for adults as it does for children. However, one should note that although metacognition may play a significant role, it may not be the sole or the most significant problem of all adults with low literacy.

FURTHER READING

- Baker, L., & Brown, A. L. (1984). Metacognitive skills and reading. In P. D. Pearson (Ed.), *Handbook of reading research* (pp. 353-394). New York: Longman.
- Gambrell, L. B., & Heahtington, B. S. (1981). Adult disabled readers' metacognitive awareness about reading tasks and strategies. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 13, 215-222.
- Paris, S. G., & Winograd, P. (1990). Metacognition in academic learning and instruction. In B. F. Jones and L. Idol (Eds.), *Dimensions of thinking and cognitive instruction*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Soifer, R., Irwin, M. E., Crumrine, B. M., Honzaki, E., Simmons, B. K., & Young, D. L. (1990). *The complete theory-to-practice handbook of adult literacy*. New York: Teachers College Press.

To order hard copy of the full report, please specify report number, title, and price as listed on the front page of this Brief.

Payment: All orders must be prepaid (U.S. Dollars only, please). Make check or money orders **PAYABLE TO "NCAL/UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA."** All sales are final. For further information, call NCAL Dissemination at 215-898-2100, (FAX) 215-898-9804, or (E-mail) ncal@literacy.upenn.edu.

Please allow 3 weeks for delivery. For foreign mail and special handling, contact NCAL Dissemination.

Send check and shipping information to:

**NATIONAL CENTER ON ADULT LITERACY
Dissemination
3910 Chestnut Street
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA 19104-3111**

To acquire an electronic copy of this report free of charge via NCAL's Internet Gopher, point your client at litserver.literacy.upenn.edu.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



NOTICE

REPRODUCTION BASIS

☐

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.

☒

This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").